

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,240	11/21/2003	Yakov Avidon	23-0297	2190
75	90 01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
Yakov Advidon			PHILLIPS, CHARLES E	
1298 Hartford Turnpike #9G North Haven,, CT 06473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		111	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,240	AVIDON, YAKOV	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles E. Phillips	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	on.	·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	у., р, ш со с	3 ( . ) ( . ) ( . ) .	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in a	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/03</u>.</li> </ol>	08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	,		

Application/Control Number: 10/719,240

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hatala.

See Fig. 1b where the frame assembly 35 is positioned on top of the tub between opposing Walls providing full response to lines 4-6 of claim 1. The support assembly is seen at 74. The locking member is seen actuated by 54.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tillman et al.

The frame assembly is seen at 2, support assembly 18 and the locking member 12, all of which together are capable of uses as recited here.

The claim 2 pair of side members is seen at 4 and the lend member is seen at 6b.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tillman et al as applied supra.

The pair of extension members are seen at 10, 12. To provide for these members to be employed on the side members 4 would have been obvious to the

Application/Control Number: 10/719,240

Art Unit: 3751

ordinary artisan. Since their use is demonstrated as locking or securing means, to employ them to lock in any direction desired would have constituted an extension of this teaching obvious to the ordinary artisan.

Claims 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 10 is allowed.

Sutor and Herman show other user supports for bathing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number (571) 272-4893.

Charles E. Phillips Primary Examiner

Page 3